



NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF IRELAND

NAI/PLIC/1

Archives are subject to copyright and should not be copied or reproduced
without the written permission of the Director of the National Archives

7/48.

W Cadwalader,

Claim received too late for consideration.

14th May, / 7.

re Claim No. 7148.

Dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your further letter of the 11th instant in reference to the above claim. Payment of the claims for losses arising out of the disturbances in Ireland in April-May 1916 has been made through the Chief Secretary's Department, Dublin Castle, and it may meet your ~~view~~ if you send to that Department a copy of the correspondence regarding your claim.

Yours faithfully,

JMB
Mr W. Cadwalader,
27 Madoc Street,
Portmadoc,
N. Wales.

7148

27 Madoc Street
Portmadoc

11 May 1917

J. J. Healy Esq
51 St Stephen's Green E
Dublin

Dear Sir:-

I thank you for your favour of the 8th inst
contents of which I note.

The advertisement to which you refer had not been
posted before I sailed from Dublin and as I was unaware
of what was going on I may claim that my loss was unique
and that under the circumstances an appeal might be served.

I feel ^{confident} you would be prepared to vouch for the validity
of this claim in face of all that has been written and explained,
and the Government Department in charge of affairs of this nature
may be prepared to make a payment on receipt of advice from you.

If you could see your way clear to write me a letter which
I could forward together with a formal claim, through my solicitor,
to the Government, I would be very much obliged to you, and it would
at least be instrumental in avoiding a lawsuit against Mr Lea who
should, having knowledge of what was going on, have instructed me
of the plans of your Committee. My owner is pressing for payment of this
chronometer from me in the first instance and as he holds money belonging
to me I need hardly explain that fighting him to recover that ~~and~~

combined with receiving nothing from any source for all the other
losses just because I did not happen to be a resident at Dublin is altogether
too bad.

I am

Yours faithfully

W. Cadwalader

8th May, /7.

re Claim No. 7148.

Dear Sir,

In reply to your letter undated and enclosure received to-day, I beg to state that Mr Lea's claim in respect of customers' goods lost in his premises and for which he was not liable, was disallowed by the Property Losses Committee, and he was so advised at the time. The owners of all property lost during the disturbances were informed through notices in the newspapers that they should send their claims to the Committee. As the Committee has sent its final report to the Government and has ceased to exist, it is much regretted that nothing can now be done in this matter.

Yours faithfully,

Mr W. Cadwalader,
27 Madoc Street,
Portmadoc,
N. Wales.

Claim for Compensation

7148

Re Loss of Chronometers and Sextant Ex Barq. Heathfield
during rebellion at Dublin April 1916

The Property Losses (Ireland) Committee 1916

51 St Stephens Green C

Dublin

4688

7145

7137

Refer as in no. 7145

Sirs:-

I have in my possession a receipt as follows:-

1 Chronometer by J. Whyte & Co No 3398 Value £25. ---

1 " " by Alex Dobbin & Co No 5456 " £25. ---

1 Sextant Value £12. ---

Total £62. ---

sgnd. Taken by B. Lea March 19th 1916

Compass Adjuster

9 Eden Quay Dublin

Owing to the fire, which destroyed that part of Dublin in which this main shop was situated about the latter part of April 1916; these were destroyed and I lodged a claim against him in the fire's instance.

He duly admitted liability in a letter dated May 9th 1916, copy of which I enclose herewith, and, pending the Property Losses Committee's report, I have left the matter in abeyance. ~~until~~ On my arrival after suffering the loss of two more chronometers due to an attack by submarines off the coast of Ireland, I wrote to Mr Lea (the optician) and in a letter dated April 16th he enclosed a letter from your

Committee as per copy enclosed.

The articles for which this claim is made were always covered against all risks so long as they remained on the vessel, and in these times I need hardly say that with Marine & War rates so high the loss is proportionately greater. The underwriters disclaimed liability as the chronometers etc were not on the vessel when they were destroyed.

It is customary to take chronometers ashore from ships upon their arrival for cleaning and rating and this was done in this case and as their destruction was never anticipated and it is doubtful whether they could have been covered against fire in any case, I must appeal to your funds direct to make good a loss which I can prove were on the premises of N^o 9 to den Quay and entitled to compensation as well as any other which were judged worthy.

The Board of Trade have repeatedly condemned the practice of carrying incorrect charts and unreliable instruments on Courts of Enquiry so that it is imperative that they should be overhauled by a practical optician from time to time.

Trusting this will meet with your approval

I remain

Yours Faithfully

W. Cadwalader
Master late Barge Heathfield

27 Madoc Street

Portmadoc N. Wales

Barr. Heathfield

Copy Law & Eden Quay Dublin
9. May 1917

Captain Cadwalader

Dear Sir

This is to certify that the chronometers & sextants placed under my care for rating cleaning & repairs have been destroyed by the recent rebellion. If compensated will be placed as soon as possible to the above named ship

Sgt Bernard Lea

Compass Adjuster & Marine Optician

Copy

Re Loss of Chronometers etc on Barr. Heathfield

April 16th 1917

Captain Cadwalader

Dear Sir:- I made a claim to the Property Losses (Ireland) Committee 1916 for compensation for the loss of your chronometers etc which were in my premises when they were destroyed & enclose you a copy of the letter received by my Solicitor from the Secretary to the Committee.

Yours etc

Sgt B. L.

Copy Dublin 24. Eden Quay 16th April 1917

Property Losses (Ireland) Committee 1916

51 St Stephens Green E

Claims N° 4686?

Dublin 24th March 1917

Dear Sir:- In reply to your letter of the 22nd inst. in the above I am directed by the Property Losses Committee to state that your claim was not

Copy

responsible for customers goods in his custody, and was so
informed by the Accusers? at the time of their investigation
no allowance for same could be made to him in the compensation
awarded

Yours Faithfully

J. J. Healy

Secretary

To C. C. Shaw Solicitor
4 Dame Street
Dublin