



## NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF IRELAND

NAI/PLIC/1

Archives are subject to copyright and should not be copied or reproduced  
without the written permission of the Director of the National Archives



5883

PLIC/1/5312

*Thomas Picton Bradshaw*

REPORTED ON BY COMMITTEE

(29 JAN. 1917)



31 Hollybrook Road  
 Clontarf  
 Dublin.

18/1/1917

J. J. Healy Esqr  
 Dublin.

Dear Sir,

re Claim 5853 - Bradshaw

In reply to your letter of 16th inst I have to say that Mr. Bradshaw informed me that Graydon Parth was originally let to James Hawkins, & after he left the City it fell into the hands of James Connolly. This gentleman did not get on very well with Blount & had to be put out.

The premises have been vacant for more than 12 months.

I trust this information will meet your requirements

Yours faithfully  
 Arthur Brown  
 Inspector

16th December 7

re Claim No. 5853 - Bradshaw.

Mr Brown.

Were the Irish Transport Workers' Union or any of their affiliated organisations the tenants of Croydon Park at the time of the disturbances? If not, who were the tenants?



31 Hollybrook Road.

Clontarf.

9-11-1914

James J. Healy Esqr.  
Dublin.

Dear Sir

re claim No. 5883 - Bradshaw

I understand the military  
Authorities have not taken any definite  
action in this matter. They are trying to  
get claimant to withdraw his claim  
but he is still corresponding with  
them on the subject.

Yours faithfully

Arthur Brown

Mr Brown.

Were the Irish Transport Workers' Union

Inspector

or any of their affiliated organisations the limits of  
Coyne Park at the time of the disturbances? If  
not, who were the limits?

JAB  
1914/17



1303 + 5883

31 Hallybrook Road

Clontarf.

Dublin.

10<sup>th</sup> October 1916.

James J. Healy Esqr.

Dublin.

Dear Sir,

re Claims Nos 1303 + 5883 - Y. P. Bradshaw.

Referring to your letter of the 5<sup>th</sup> inst in this connection I duly wrote to claimant to know what attitude the Military Authorities were adopting in reference to his claims & I now enclose copy of a letter I have received this morning from which you will note that they are only dealing with the "Grazing Claims".

re McCallagh.

You will notice his remarks in this case & I have written him advising him that Mr McCallagh should communicate with you at the same time explaining the cause of the delay.

Military Correspondence.

Mr. Bradshaw has asked me to return him the above & I enclose copy for the original claims.

Yours faithfully,

Arthur M. Brown

Inspector.

Mr Brown

These claims were held over till it could be seen how the cases were dealt with by the military authorities. You may see the letter on the subject & report.

JMB

28/12/16

Here for the  
former GMA  
12/1/1916



COPY

Mount Temple,

Clontarf,

Dublin. 8/10/16.

Dear Mr Brown,

The Military Authorities are dealing with my claim for damage to grazing land when the Troops occupied Croydon Park, after the Rebellion, but they decline to consider or deal with any claims for damage during the Rebellion or arising out of same - these claims they state are matters for your Committee.

I asked Mr McCullagh, following his letter why he delayed reporting injury done to 18 Mountjoy Square, he replied he would have reported it sooner but he was ill during the Easter and following week - afterwards he had to work to get back his practice, and then the worry of his two sons being wounded in France drove everything else out of his head. He states the Troops were on and over the Roof - besides not knowing what damage they did outside on roof, but plaster was knocked down in top room ceilings and glass in windows broken.

I shall be obliged if you will please return the copy correspondence (I sent you for perusal) with the Military Authorities regarding claim as I shall require it when dealing with them for this claim.

Yours faithfully,

(Sgd) T. Picton-Bradshaw.



Mount Temple,  
Clontarf, Dublin, 16th Sept 1916.

Sir,

Referring to my interview at Croydon Park with Mr Barcroft, as arranged I send herewith statement of claim for damage and loss sustained there.

Claim One and Two is for damage caused to meadow and grazing land and injury to railings, fences etc during Military occupation of the premises after the Rebellion was quelled, Claim Three being damage caused by the Military during the Rebellion.

With regard to claim one, I have placed the bare value as growing meadow, at £7 per acre, £140 off which I have deducted £30 as salvage or possible receipts, this sum has not been so far received. I am getting £7 per acre for meadow much further from town, and £9 per acre for 6 months May to November, dairy grazing from adjoining land. The P.L. value under recent revaluation made by the Government Valuation Dept on some of this land is £7 per acre, on which basis taxation is assessed, I may further point out that I have received for portion of this land, namely, the front lawn containing some 12 acres a rent of £140 for the previous two years.

Owing to scarcity of feeding stuffs and the fact that some horses were let loose on the land during the Rebellion week I decided to graze the entire land at Croydon Park, and for that purpose had this year made actual lettings for 22 horses at 9/- per week per horse, these lettings were made previously, and 16 of the horses were actually on the land when the Military occupied it, while 6 more were put on the following morning, and the 22 were driven off out on the street by the Military.

On hearing this I went to the Park and was refused admission, but eventually obtained an interview with the Officer in charge, I asked him to allow the horses to remain pointing out I was in actual receipt of £9.18.0. per week from these horses, and if turned off it would cause considerable inconvenience to the owners, heavy loss to me for which I would hold the Military Authorities responsible, adding that the eating down of the grass by the horses would be a considerable benefit for the men when drilling, notwithstanding these facts, I have not based my claim on these figures, as £110 would only represent less than an average of 10 horses per week during the grazing season, from these facts it is clear the claim ~~is~~ made is an exceedingly moderate one, and far from excessive.

The premises were taken possession of without communicating with me, the caretaker's things being thrown out on the avenue, I communicated with the Head Quarters, calling personally, and writing pointing out these facts, and the only reply I received was the Military required the premises and compensation or letting terms would be dealt with by the Land Agent for the War Dept, I could not even find out for what time the premises were required and have not to this day received any notification that the premises were not further required, owing to the treatment the horses received the owners ~~decided~~ to put them there again.

With regard to claim two, this is damage done to the Iron Railings wire and other fences ditches and hedges during the occupation of the Military after the Rebellion ceased.



Claim three is for damage done quite unnecessary, during the Rebellion, seeing I had previously informed the Authorities that the place was idle and in my possession since January last, and as the house, a large one, was vacant I would willingly place it at the disposal of the Military for Troops if required, notwithstanding this the entrance and other gates, doors and premises were smashed and left open, and the damage done amounting as per claim herewith.

If further details required I shall as far as possible furnish them.

Yours faithfully,



Mount Temple,  
Clontarf, Dublin. 16th September 1916.

Claim re CROYDON PARK, FAIRVIEW, CLONTARF.

To injury and damage done to 20 acres grass  
growing and trampled down by the Military  
when occupying and drilling in Croydon Park  
Clontarf at £7 per acre.....£140.0.0.

Or By estimated probable salvage from Grazing.....£ 30.0.0.

---

£110.0.0.

---

The above damage was done during the occupation of the  
Property by the Military Authorities after the Rebellion  
was quelled.

See accompanying letter of particulars.



Mount Temple,  
Clontarf, Dublin. 16th September 1916.

Claim re CROYDON PARK, FAIRVIEW, CLONTARF.  
-----

To damage done to entrance gates piers and hinges.....	£16. 0. 0.
To damage done smashing open of Lodge Door, damage done to interior doors, windows, broken glass back and front of same, damage to range and damage to roof.....	9. 0. 0.
To damage done in smashing in massive antique Hall Door and locks and fitting Yale latch etc.....	9. 0. 0.
To damage done smashing in large yard entrance Gates...	9. 0. 0.
To damage done to interior doors, locks, shutters and fittings.....	8. 0. 0.
To broken glass and Roof damage.....	7. 0. 0.
To damage done to Doors, Roofs, etc in Yard and Stable Buildings.....	12. 0. 0.
	<hr/>
	£70. 0. 0.
	<hr/>

The above damage was done by the Military Authorities in smashing open and entering the premises during the Rebellion notwithstanding I had previously notified them that the premises were vacant and in my possession since January last.

See accompanying letter of Particulars.



Mount Temple,  
Clontarf, Dublin. 16th September 1916.

Claimre CROYDON PARK, FAIRVIEW, CLONTARF.

To injury and damage done to Iron Railings  
round lawn, wire and other fences, ditches  
and hedges at Croydon Park Fairview Clontarf.....£25. 0. 0.

The above damage was done during the occupation of the  
Property by the Military Authorities after the Rebellion  
was quelled.

See accompanying letter of particulars.



31 Hollybrook Road  
Clontarf.

Dublin

6<sup>th</sup> Oct 1916

J. J. Healy Esqr.  
Dublin

Dear Sir,

no 58837 1303 - Y. P. Bradshaw.

Your letter & enclosures of the 5<sup>th</sup> inst as above  
are duly to hand and I note your advice.

I am advising Mr. Bradshaw regarding Mr. McElduff's  
claim & will write you further about his own claims as soon  
as I have anything to report.

Yours faithfully,

Arthur M. Brown

Inspector.



5th October

5883 and 1303.  
T.P. Bradshaw.

Dear Sir,

Referring to your report of 30th ultimo, in above, I now return papers asked for.

As regards Mr McCullagh's letter, no explanation of the omission to lodge a claim in time has been given, and it will be necessary for the writer to communicate with this Office and obtain the Committee's permission before a claim can now be accepted.

The whole of Mr Bradshaw's claims(3883 and 1303) appears to be one for the Military Authorities to deal with and pending the result of his application to them no action will be taken by the Committee on these claims.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary.

A.M. Brown Esq.,  
31 Hollybrook Road,  
Clontarf.



5883

Please return  
papers 1 to 6.  
when replying



30/9/1916

Done  
5/10/16



PROPERTY LOSSES (IRELAND) COMMITTEE, 1916.

Inspector's Report.

Claim No. 5883.

Name of Claimant Thomas Picton Bradshaw. Occupation

Situation of Property Croydon Park, Fairview, Dublin.

Description	Claim	Insurance (if any)	Valuation of Buildings (Commissioner of Valuation)	Inspector's Valuation of full Damage	Loss Payable on basis of Insurance	Excess of Loss over and above amount payable under the Policies of Insurance
Meadow Damaged	£110.	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Fencing Damaged.	25	Nil	Nil	£10	Nil	Nil
TOTALS, £	£135	Nil	Nil	£10	Nil	Nil

Interests in the buildings

Item 1. - See separate report attached.

Remarks Item 2. - I have inspected damage in this case and consider the sum of £10 adequate compensation for the item. The fencing was not in a very good state of repair.

Signature Arthur M. Brown  
Inspector.

Date 30th September 1916.

Award of Committee: Contents £10 WMS

Do. Buildings

REPORTED ON BY COM



Private Confidential

31 Hollybrook Road  
 Booterstown  
 Dublin

30th Sept 1916.

J. J. Neale Esqr  
 Dublin.

Dear Sir,

re Claim No 5883 - Bradshaw Item 1.

Whilst going over the premises known as "Croydon Park" I had a look at the surrounding land for which the claim of £110 is made. As far as I could see the land was not in any way injured there not being any sign of the grass worn away by the military having been stationed there and using it for drill purposes.

It is quite evident that the land had never been sown for meadowing, the grass being the same as on an ordinary field that had been let grow wild. It had been let for grazing purposes & when the military took possession there were 32 horses on the land. These you will see by the enclosed copy of a letter to the War Office were driven off in spite of all claimant stated to the C. Officer.

It is I think more or less a claim for the loss of grazing rent rather than damage to the land and under these circumstances I do not think the claim is one which would come within the terms of reference. I may add that claimant is to see the Military Authorities about it this week; he has promised to let me know the result.

In the meantime I should be glad of your advice as I will



2.

in all probability has seeing Mr. Bradshaw again.

Please return copy of letter to War Office as I  
only have the loan of this

Yours faithfully,

Arthur M. Brown

Inspector.

P. S. I also inclose a letter from one of claimants tinants  
and if you desire to admit the claims please forward me forms  
when I will have them completed.

Dr.



1303

5883

# Property Losses (Ireland) Committee, 1916.

51 ST. STEPHEN'S GREEN, EAST, DUBLIN.

## Claim for Damages caused during the Disturbances on the 24th April, 1916, and following days.

I Thos Pichu Broadshaw now residing

We Mount Temple Chapel in the City of Dublin  
County of Dublin

do hereby solemnly and sincerely declare that on or about the 24th day of April of  
1916, damage was done to the undermentioned Property, namely:—\* some 20

\* State  
situation of  
property  
damaged.

\*\* Here state  
cause of  
damage.

acres of meadow and grazing land situated at Mount Temple  
and such damage was occasioned to the best of my belief by\*\* the military taking  
possession of the premises as military quarters and drilling of soldiers  
including the meadow grazing and the burning off of 20 horse grazing in lands

And We further declare that the Property and Articles specified on the other side were  
so destroyed or damaged; that the Cost Price of same was as shown in each case;  
that at the time of the destruction or damage they were respectively of the Values  
specified under the head "Value of Property at time of Destruction or Damage";  
and that, in consequence of such destruction or damage, claim is hereby made for the  
sums specified under the head "Amount Claimed"; that the Claim is made by me  
as† owner of the premises; and that no person is interested in

† Insert  
"Owner,"  
"Lessee,"  
or  
"Mortgagee,"  
as the case  
may be.

the said property except‡ myself residing under long leasehold  
lease - there is no mortgage or leasehold

‡ Insert  
"myself," or  
"ourselves,"  
and the names  
of Mortgagees,  
Mortgagees,  
Lessors,  
Lessees, or  
joint owners  
(if any).

and that it is not insured by me or any other person, § except as follows, namely:—

§ Strike out  
the words  
following if  
the property is  
not insured.

Company	Policy No.	Amount £
<u>7th</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>"</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>"</u>
<u>"</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>"</u>

And We make this solemn Declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true, and by  
virtue of the provisions of the Statutory Declarations Act, 1835.

Made and subscribed the 11th day of

August 1916, at 10710

Grattan Street in the said City,  
County,

before me, a Justice of the Peace for the said

City  
County.

Signature  
of Claimant  
of Claimants

Thos Pichu Broadshaw Frank J. North  
City of Dublin

NOTE—This Claim is to be furnished in duplicate, and should be accompanied by the Policies of Fire Insurance  
and the last receipt, in each case, or certified copies of same. When completed it is to be forwarded to the  
Secretary of the Committee, 51 St. Stephen's Green, East, Dublin.



## PARTICULARS OF THE CLAIM.

(TO BE GIVEN IN DETAIL.)