

NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF IRELAND

NAI/PLIC/1

Archives are subject to copyright and should not be copied or reproduced without the written permission of the Director of the National Archives

G. Allardyce

REPORTED ON BY COMMITTEE

10 hov. 1916

R. W. Mac NEICE,

SOLICITOR

COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

TELEGRAMS,
"MACNEICE. DUBLIN 5Y."
TELEPHONE NO. 5Y.

12, WESTMORELAND STREET,

DUBLIN.

5th December 1916.

Compensation Claims 1280 - Geo. Allardyce. 1586 - Adam Carmichael.

1335 1535 - Ernest Devine.

Dear Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 18th

October, when you stated that these claims were being dealt with and a further communication would be sent me later, I shall be glad to know their present position and as to when the parties may expect to receive settlement.

Rumacherce

The Secretary,
Property Losses (Ir) Comm.
51 Stephens Green, E.
Dublin.



PROPERTY LOSSES (IRELAND) COMMITTEE,

Inspector's Report.

Claim No.

(Supplemental)

Name of Claimant 450042 allardych Occupation Janto's Cutter

Situation of Property Stort Ho. Jailor 2: ft Luthritte St. Dublin						
Description	Claim	Insurance (if any)	Valuation of Buildings (Commissioner of Valuation)	Inspector's Valuation of full Damage	Loss Payable on basis of Insurance	Excess of Loss over and above amount payable under the Policies of Insurance
Waring apparel Worthman's Tools	38			8.74.6		-
Au an mores	21 14 6		8	146		
hus				maple 6	hs 1280	2
Totals, £	38	-		8.14.6	_	
Arrive to presoure of work which prevailed in the						

Interests in the buildings

Remarks

rarly stages of my investigations, I considered it sage at the time to consult hirsen Scott 4/0, and their Foreman with regard to two Claim. In his way arrived at my vironmendation of \$16.5.6 on the have now had a presonal wherever with with

Claimant, and a Signaturediscussion with meson Scott Ho. relative traceto, The latter still maintain heat \$30, in viepret of Patiens, is a Date sure, and now appear to an additional payment of \$8.14.6

Award of Committee:

athing the total compression of 2

Buildings in turn.

#25 in Wall discharges stock of 1916

GEOR'E ALLARDYCE 65 GROSVENOR ROAD, (Cuit for over 25 years to Messrs. W. Scott & Co. RATHMINES, Ltd., Tailors and Habit Specialists, Dublin). DUBLIN. 16/0 Block patterns, of over treaty bird years perfecting, which I cannot replace in their perfectness. 3 Dets Habit Coaks for hunting, cide caddle do so and askide Coals. do do Habit Rafedy Skirks for heerting. do de Ladies hunting rather breeches. en d'ailers etc, £ 25 2 Dets Caper. Invener Cape, Raglan Capes Driving Caalo, Sac Caalo, Special Ret of parties for Cashames. L 5-

SOLICITOR

COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

TELEGRAMS, "MACNEICE. DUBLIN 5Y."

TELEPHONE No. 5Y.

12, WESTMORELAND STREET,

DUBLIN.

17th Octr 1916.

Re Claims 1280 Geo Allardyce, 1586 A. Carmichael. 1535 Ernest Devine.

Dear Sirm

Referring to my letter to you of
7th Inst relative to these cases, would you
kindly let me know when I may expect to
receive a reply with reference to same.

I do not wish to unduly press you if you are not yet in a position to do so, but my clients have been enquiring from me.

The Sec,

Property Losses Com.

61 Stephens Gr.

phase to une duquessan for remorders : 90/1 "24/10/16

R. W. MAC NEICE,
SOLICITOR
COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.
TELEGRAMS,
"MACNEICÉ. DUBLIN 5Y."

TELEPHONE NO. 5Y.

allorare had to be interviewed to be interviewed to be interviewed to be interviewed to be interviewed.

12, WESTMORELAND STREET,

DUBLIN.

7th October 1916.

Re Claims 1280 G. Allardyce. Claim recommended to Goul. 3/8/16

1586 A. Carmichael. Do Do 18/8/16

1335 E. Devine.

Dear Sir,

I duly received your letter of 3rd Inst relative to the above cases, and I have submitted same to mach of my clients. They are very much disappointed at the attitude taken up by the Committee as they felt certain that when the true facts were brought before them that they would see the injustice that had been done to each of them.

For the purpose of pointing this out to you, I will take each case separately with a view to showing that the parties are not at all compensated for their loss in the manner in which the Government expressed their intention of doing, and as a matter of fact they one and all are heavy losers.

In the first case the principal items in the claim is £30 for a large quantity of Tailors Block Patterns and the balance was made up of apparatus and clothes amounting to £8.

It may be that the Inspector did not understand the peculiar value of these Block Patterns, but perhaps this can be realized when it is stated that they are irreplacable and practically meant Mr Allardyce's liveli-hood to him. I should point out that he is considered one of the most expert lady's tailors in the Kingdom having been engaged at this business for over 30 years and had prepared and cut these patterns during this

4

SOLICITOR

COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

TELEGRAMS,

"MACNEICÉ. DUBLIN 5Y."

TELEPHONE NO. 5Y.

12, WESTMORELAND STREET,

DUBLIN.

7th October 1916.

period so that the quantity and value thereof can be estimated, when it is explained that they are required for constant use the in this business, and their compilation is only possible by an expert cutter of exceptional ability and vast experience. As admitted by you, the Inspector did not see this party personally and Mr Allardyce wishes me to state that it would me impossible for any body else but himself to explain fully or satisfactorily that quantity or value of these patterns, for which it appears he was allowed but one quarter of the value placed upon them by him, and which I have no doubt, from my own knowledge of the Claimant was not in any way exaggerated or inflated.

Mr Carmichael is chief Cutter in the large establishment of Messrs William Scott & Co, Ltd, one of the leading Dublin High Class Tailors, and the amount allowed to him falls short of £11 - 15 - 0 for the clothes material and apparatus for which whis claim was £29, to say nothing of the sum of £10 for a quantity of Tailors block patterns also destroyed and the same remarks as in the former case practically apply to this case.

How could any person but the Claimant say what quantity of clothes etc, had been destroyed or their value and with all respect, I cannot agree with your statement that your Inspector was able to get full and from any other herson reliable information regarding these claims, and I consider that it is most unfair that because this particular Inspector had notime, as stated, to visit the Claimants that the claims should be so disproportionately cut down

SOLICITOR

COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

TELEGRAMS, "MACNEICE. DUBLIN 5Y."

TELEPHONE NO. 5Y.

12, WESTMORELAND STREET,

DUBLIN.

7th October 1916.

especially having regard to the fact that three other claimants in the same house were treated altogether differently.

With regard to the third case, the Assessor when interviewing the Claimant appreciated the fairness of the claim and was apparently satisfied as to Bona Fides. The claimant was therefore very surprised to find he had been disallowed so much as £10 - 6 - 0. I shall thank you therefore to bring these cases again before the Committee, and if they are not referred back to the Assessors and dealt with more satisfactorily, I shall be obliged to place the facts in stated in this and my previous letter in the proper quarter, as apart from the figures in the several cases, which speak for themselves, I am aware from Authoritative source that the Assessors have express instructions from your Committee to personally interview Claimants and not to depend upon second hand evidence.

It is admitted that your Inspector did not see or interview two of these Claimants and unless he assumed that because I acted for them that they must be in existence, he would appear to have had no other evidence to prove that fact at all. These cases clearly require reconsideration and my clients go so far as to request that their cases be sent to any one of the three principal Assessors to be dealt with and be satisfied with to abide by results

The Secretary,
Property Losses (Ireland) Committee,
51 St Stephens Green, E.,
Dublin.

Yours faithfully,

Rw mac herce

2nd Octr. .

re Claims 1280 G. Allardyce 1586 A. Carmichael 1535 E. Devine.

Dear Sir.

In reply to your letter of the 12th ultimo regarding the above cases, I am directed by the Committee to inform you that the claim in each instance was most carefully and thoroughly investigated by their Inspector before furnishing his report. Owing to pressure of work the Inspector could not see two of the claimants, but he was able to get full and reliable information regarding all the items claimed, and the Committee are satisfied that the amounts allowed are fair and reasonable.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary.

R. W. MacNeice, Esq.,
Solicitor,
12 Westmoreland Street,
Dublin.

SOLICITOR

COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

TELEGRAMS,

"MACNEICE. DUBLIN 5Y."

TELEPHONE NO. 5Y.

12, WESTMORELAND STREET,

DUBLIN.

12th September 1916.

1280 Claims (1) George Allardyce, Amount claimed £38, allowed £16 - 0 - 0
1586 (2) Adam Carmichael, ,, ,, £39, ,, £17 - 5 - 0
Tockers & March (3) Ernest Devine, ,, ,, £25, ,, £14 -14 - 0

Dear Sir, 13/4 C. cela garding 4/16

Dear Sir, 13/4 C. cela garding 4/16

Dear Sir, 13/4 C. cela garding 4/16

Dear Sir, 13/4 C. cela garding to be brushed and the second and the second

The Above claims were prepared and lodged by me with the result stated. The Claimants instruct me that they are disappointed and dissatisfied with the amounts awarded as their claims were made in perfect good faith and I can say that when preparing them, I went into matters carefully with the Claimants and considered each of the items fully. I am instructed that as regards Nos 1 & 2 above, the Assessors did not see or interview the Claimants at all, neither did they call on me for any particulars or information, as they have done in connection with a number of other claims lodged by me, and as a result of which I furnished further information when required or arranged appointments with the Claimants to suit the convenience of the Assessors, with more satisfactory results.

What these Claimants principally complain of and their reason for asking me to bring the cases before your Committee for re-consideration is the fact of their not being interviewed or given a chance of explanation of their claims and the further fact that other claims lodged by me of an exactly similar nature, have been dealt with in a different manner. One of such as I mention has been paid in full, and two others were not

SOLICITOR

COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS.

TELEGRAMS,
"Mac NEICE. DUBLIN 5Y."

TELEPHONE NO. 5Y.

12, WESTMORELAND STREET,

DUBLIN.

12th September 1916.

unreasonably reduced. These last mentioned Claimants were in the same business house as Nos 1 & 2 above.vi2,

My Clients consider that they are entitled to re-consideration of their claims on the grounds stated, being of opinion that they should have been interviewed regarding their claims and having regard to the disproportionate manner in which their claims have been dealt with in comparison with other similar claims mentioned.

It would appear apparent that the Assessors have acted erroneous eously in ascertaining the amounts awarded, it being evident that they fixed the amounts themselves without making any enquiries as to the items at all, and I would feel obliged if you would bring these cases and the facts stated in connection therwith before your Committee with a view to having them re-considered and dealt with and settled on a more satisfactory basis.

Yours faithfully,

vmacherce

The Secretary,
Property Losses (Ireland) Committee,
51, Stephens Green, E.
Dublin.

Dublin, 30 th Sapta 1916.

James J. Hraly, Esq. Secretary.

Property Losses (Irrand) Committee, 1916.

Sor, claim 1280 Grorge awardyce.

facts of this case, with a view to sering if some or adjustment, in Claimant's favour, could not be made, but I have failed to find any cognet vergeon which would compet me to advise a prevision of the settlement.

con the case of claim (1586) Carmichael, who trapsperred to be also in the employment of W. Scott, Merchant Tair, late of NO2 fower Sackwiller St. I found that pressure of work, and want of time compared me to arrive at the desired information as sparrligus possible and to attain this object Larciard to be quided by what her Scott had to say. In this way, I arrived at my recommendation of £17.51-, which I still consider to be fair and reasonable.

with organd to the 3th item in the Claim,

viz: a large quantity of Tours block Partons. £30, which imbraces the buck of the Claim, Cloumant is quell entitled, from the standpoint, to allass importance to the Value of the Paterno which were no doubt the product of 30 years worth, but verying turn from the Insurance aspect, tury would be considered as so mus wasta paper under any ordinary Fire Policy , and comprise ation stronger would be highly problematical. I took, what I regarded at the time a merciful view, and vecommended for this item alone \$ 10. your burient Servent

19, Sandford Perrais, Sandford Road, Dublin. 18 th Sept. 1916.

James J. Straly, Eng. Secretary, Property Losers (Indans) Committer, 1916.

claim ve 1280 auardyer.

1335 Devine.

1586 Carmichael.

"Reported on by Committer".

I return harriveth original papers in these cases, when I reget I inadvertantly took away with me on Saturday last.

your oursirut Servant,

D'hyordsby